	The numose o	of this sum	ımary appraisal rep	ort is to prov	ide the le	nder/clien	t with an	acciii	ırate a	nd adequat	elv sunr	norter	oninion	of the m	arket value	e of the	subject	nronerty	
					ido tilo lo	iluoi, olioi	it with the				oly Supp	portoc	, оринон		te OH	Zip Cod			
			N Pennsylvania	Ave		O	Dublic De			<u>Wellston</u>							7 400	92	
	Borrower Jar						Public ne	Joru	Jame	es C Emr	nert			COL	inty Jack	son			
			Iston Corp. OR		18 N 1/2				T\/-					- D.F	T 0				
			240060001000							ar 2016					. Taxes \$				
ᄗ			ellston Corp						Map R	eference :	<u> 27160</u>				isus Tract	9573.0			
当	Occupant 🛚			cant		Special A	ssessmen	ts\$ (0				PUD	HOA \$ 0		per yea	r _	per month	
g	Property Right:	s Appraised	☐ Fee Simple	Leaseh	old 🔲	Other (de	escribe)												
S	Assignment Ty	/pe F	Purchase Transaction	n Refin	ance Tran	saction	◯ Othe	r (des	cribe)	Market v	/alue.								
	Lender/Client	People	s Bank Nationa	I Associatio	n	Addre	ss 138	Putn	am S	t, Marietta	a. OH	457	50						
			rrently offered for sa											sal?	П	Yes 🗵] No		
			ed, offering price(s),							sting. Occ					wner		,		
	oport data oo	4.00(0) 400	, c., c., c., c., c., c., c., c., c., c.	u uu.u(u).	110		011 11400	- Curio	4 IQI III	oung. Oo	oupunt	10 0	00011100	10 00 0	WIIOI.				
	l did	did not or	nalyze the contract	for calo for the	cubicot n	ırahasa tr	nnonotion	Evoloi	in the r	noulto of the	onalyci	o of t	ho contrac	t for colo	or why tho	analysis s	vac not		
	performed.	J ulu liut ai	ialyze the contract	IUI Sait IUI LIIC	Տասյեսւ բւ	JI GIIASE U	ansaciiun.	Ехріаі	uii uie i	esuits oi tiit	ananysi	5 UI U	ile cullia	l IUI Sale	or willy ule	ananysis i	vas iiul		
L	periornieu.																		
RACT	0 t t D	•	D-44.0			In the con-				-4 L.C	10		V 🗆	N- D-4-	0				
꼰	Contract Price		Date of Co							of public re					Source(s)				
ž	•		stance (loan charge				ayment as	sistan	ice, etc	.) to be paid	i by any	party	on behalf	of the bor	rower?		Yes	□ No	
ၓ	If Yes, report th	ne total dolla	ar amount and desc	ribe the items	to be paid														
	Note: Race ar	nd the raci	al composition of	the neighbor	hood are	not appr	aisal fact	ors.											
			od Characteristic						usina	Trends			0	ne-Unit I	lousing	Pres	ent Lar	nd Use %	
		Urban	Suburban		Property	Values [Increas			Stable	Dec	lining		RICE	AGE	One-Ur		75 %	
			□ 25-75%	Under 25%			Shorta			In Balance		er Sur		(000)	(yrs)	2-4 Un		1 %	
8		Rapid		Slow					_=	3-6 mths	=-	_				Multi-F	-	1 %	
፩			Stable _		Marketing							er 6 m	_						
호	Neighborhood	Boundanes	Boundaries	to the Nort	h, South	, East a	and Wes	t by c	corpo	ration lim	its.		_	<u> </u>		Comm	erciai	10 %	
図														O Pred		Other		13 %	
둉	Neighborhood	Description	Neighborho	od descript	ion cons	ist of al	l areas v	vithin	the c	orporatio	n limits	s. Pr	<u>esent la</u>	nd use o	of "Other	" reflect	s estir	nate of	
孠	vacant land	within th	ne neighborhoo	d.															
	Market Conditi	ions (includ	ing support for the	above conclus	ions)	The ge	neral m	arket	cond	itions for	this ne	ighb	orhood	are sho	wing incr	eased s	ales, l	but the	
	supply has	been ma	intained and is	considered	stable. F	inancin	ng is rea	dily a	vailab	le for sin	gle fan	nily h	nousing	n the ne	ighborho	od. Loa	an disc	counts	
	are not nori	mal for th	is area and hav	e no impac	t on pro	perty va	lues. Av	erad	e dav	s on the i	market	t for	this area	is 120	-180.				
	Dimensions 9						11040 st				pe Re				View N	l·Res·			
			tion (510) R-SIN	IGI E FAMI	ΙΥ				10) Si	ngle Fam				Lot Re		.,. 100,			
												CIIII	, i lattet	LOCINO	<u>Jiaci iliai</u>				
		ing Compliance 🔀 Legal 🔲 Legal Nonconforming (Grandfathered Use) 📗 No Zoning 🔲 Illegal (describe) ne highest and best use of subject property as improved (or as proposed per plans and specifications) the present use? 📉 Yes 🦳 No If No, describe																	
	is the mynest	anu best us	se or subject proper	y as illipioveu	(ui as piu	poseu pei	pians and	Speci	IIICALIUI	is) tile presi	ent use?		Y res No II No, describe						
		D 111 0						,,			-		site Improvements – Type Public Private						
			ther (describe)		M-4	Pub		r (desc	cribe)					ients – i y	pe		_	Private	
SITE	Electricity	\boxtimes			Water								Paved			<u> </u>		 	
S	Gas	<u> </u>			Sanitary S							_	Gravel			<u> </u>	_		
	FEMA Special				MA Flood					lap # 39	079C0	070	<u>K</u>		FEMA Ma	p Date 1	2/18/2	2009	
			e improvements typ				Yes [_	, describe					· ·				
			conditions or exter												⊠ No				
	There are r	no appare	ent adverse eas	ements (ex	cept typ	ical utilit	ties) end	roach	hmen	ts or othe	er adve	rse	factors.	There a	re no spe	ecial as	sessm	ents.	
Ţ			cal Characteristics (of Property	Apprai	sal Files	ML	s 🗵	Asse	essment and	Tax Re	cords	S ⊠ Pr	or Inspec	tion	Property	Owner		
Ī	☑ Other (describe) Drive By Data Source for Gross Living Area County records																		
	Ge	eneral Des	cription	G	eneral De	scription				g/Cooling			Ameni			Car S	torage		
	Units 🖂 One	One v	vith Accessory Unit	Concret	te Slab 🔀	Crawl S	Space	⊠ F	-WA	HWBB			ireplace(s	# 0	Non	e			
	# of Stories	2	•	Full Bas		Finis	•	□ R	Radiant				Voodstove			eway	# of Ca	ars 10	
	Type 🔀 Det.		S-Det./End Uni		Basement	Finis		=	Other				Patio/Deck			y Surface		Gravel	
	Existing [Propose				Frame		Fuel		Gas			orch 20		⊠ Gara		# of Ca		
	Design (Style)	OS2		Roof Surfac		Shingle		_	Central	Air Conditio	nina		ool No		Carr	_	# of Ca		
	Year Built	1900		Gutters & D					ndividu		illig		ence No				Det		
	Effective Age ('	Window Typ					Other				Other No		Buil		DU	acricu	
			or Denge/Out			Thermo		٠ تــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		None Weeker/F	Druge P	_							
	Appliances _	Refrigerat				_ Disposa		crowa		Washer/E		_	ther (desc		pliances				
ENTS	Finished area a			10 Rooms			Bedrooms			.1 Bath(s					t of Gross I	Living Are	a Above	Grade	
ú	Additional feat	ures (specia	al energy efficient it	ems, etc.)	Subject	appear	s to hav	e had	d aver	age mair	ntenan	ce o	ver the y	ears.					
MΞ																			
õ	Describe the c	ondition of	the property and da	ta source(s) (ii	ncluding a	pparent n	eeded repa	airs, de	eteriorat	tion, renovat	tions, rer	mode	ling, etc.).	C3;	No adver	se envi	ronme	ntal	
뿝	conditions, nor external factors were observed that would effect the subjects marketability. See statement of limiting conditions.																		
M																			
I	Are there any	apparent nh	ysical deficiencies	or adverse con	ditions tha	t affect th	e livahility	SOUNC	dness	or structura	l intearit	v of th	ne pronert	1?	Yes	⊠ Nn			
ı	If Yes, describ		,					Journe	,	Judotulu	vg.iii.	,	p. opoit	•	00				
I			to have had a	orage mei-	atonono	over #	20.1/0055												
ı	me subject	ı appears	to have had a	verage mair	пенапсе	e over tr	ie years												
ı																			
ı	Does the property generally conform to the neighborhood (functional utility, style, condition, use, construction, etc.)?																		
I	Does the prope	erty general	iy coniorm to the n	ayınomood (t	urictional t	unity, Styl	e, conditio	ıı, use,	, const	iucuon, etc	.) !		⊠ Yes	INO	f No, descr	ide.			

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 1 of 6

Thoro are a series	Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report File # 18026b0212													
	There are 0 comparable properties currently offered for sale in the subject neighborhood ranging in price from \$ 0 to \$ 0													
					rice from \$ 75,500									
FEATURE	SUBJECT		LE SALE # 1		LE SALE # 2		LE SALE # 3							
Address 415 N Pennsylva		408 E 2nd St		603 W Broadwa	-	249 N New York Ave								
Wellston, OH 45 Proximity to Subject	Wellston, OH 45	092	Wellston, OH 45	0092	Wellston, OH 45692									
Sale Price	\$	0.41 miles SE	\$ 75,500	0.71 miles W	\$ 79,500	0.15 miles SE	\$ 97,500							
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 49.41 sq.ft.	γ 73,300	\$ 45.48 sq.ft.		\$ 43.60 sq.ft.	91,300							
Data Source(s)	ψ oq.n.	County Auditor F	Records:DOM 0	County Auditor F			ecords:DOM 0							
Verification Source(s)	County Auditor, I	Driv	County Auditor, I		County Auditor Records;DOM 0 County Auditor, Driv									
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment							
Sales or Financing		ArmLth		ArmLth		ArmLth	,							
Concessions		Unknown;0		Unknown;0		Unknown;0								
Date of Sale/Time		s12/17;Unk		s01/18;Unk		s09/17;Unk								
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;								
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple		Fee Simple								
Site	11040 sf	4096 sf	+/00	14400 sf	-300	9840 sf	+100							
View Design (Style)	N;Res; DT2;OS2S	N;Res; DT2;OS2S		N;Res; DT2;OS2S		N;Res; DT2;OS2S								
Quality of Construction	Q3	Q3		Q3		Q2	-9,800							
Actual Age	118	128	0	118		128	- 9,800 0							
Condition	C3	C4		C4	n	C4	0							
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths	0							
Room Count	10 3 1.1	6 2 1.0	0		ō	8 4 2.0	0							
Gross Living Area	2,056 sq.ft.		+5,300		+3,100	2,236 sq.ft.	-1,800							
Basement & Finished	817sf0sfwu	Partsf0sfin	0	0sf		Partsf0sfin	0							
Rooms Below Grade														
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average		Average								
Heating/Cooling	FWA/None	FWA/Central	-1,000	FWA/None		FWA/None								
Energy Efficient Items	Average	Average		Average		Average								
Garage/Carport	2gd10dw	None	+4,000			2ga2dw	0							
Porch/Patio/Deck Additional Features	2OFP None	10FP1EFP	-500 -1,500	1EFP1BPT	-500	1EFP1OFP1BP None	-1,000							
Additional Features	None	Fireplace None	-1,500	None		None								
Additional Features	None	None		Sheds	-1,500									
Net Adjustment (Total)	IVOIC		\$ 7.000		\$ 7,300		\$ -12,500							
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 9.3 %	7,000	Net Adi. 9.2 %	7 .,000	Net Adj. 12.8 %	Ψ -12,000							
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 17.2 %	\$ 82.500	Gross Adj. 15.0 %		Gross Adj. 13.0 %	\$ 85,000							
Data Source(s) County aud My research ☐ did ☒ did	Data Source(s) County auditor records. My research did did id did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale.													
		rior sale or transfer his	tory of the subject pro	perty and comparable	sales (report additional	prior sales on page 3	Data Source(s) County Auditor records. Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3).							
							1							
•	SL	ibject i	COMPARABLE S	SALE #1 C	OMPARABLE SALE #	2 I COMPAI								
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	11/01/2010	IBJECT	COMPARABLE S 06/06/2016		OMPARABLE SALE # 3/2004	2 COMPAI 05/09/1997	RABLE SALE #3							
ITEM					3/2004		RABLE SALE #3							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s)	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi	tor Records	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun	3/2004 000 ty Auditor Records	05/09/1997 \$40,000 S County Aud	RABLE SALE #3							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018	tor Records	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13	3/2004 000 ty Auditor Record: 3/2018	05/09/1997 \$40,000 S County Aud 02/13/2018	RABLE SALE #3 ditor Records							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 nistory of the subject p	tor Records	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 lle sales Sal	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v	3/2004 000 ty Auditor Record: 3/2018 within 1 mile in dist	05/09/1997 \$40,000 County Aud 02/13/2018 ance and sales w	RABLE SALE #3 ditor Records arithin 6 months of							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 nistory of the subject pad. Three were for	tor Records roperty and comparatound. Comparable	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 lle sales Sale es selected were	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance	8/2004 1000 ty Auditor Records 8/2018 within 1 mile in dist e and sales dates	05/09/1997 \$40,000 S County Aud 02/13/2018 ance and sales were older than the	ditor Records dithin 6 months of the appraiser							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research would like. But all, were a	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 nistory of the subject pad. Three were for	tor Records roperty and comparatound. Comparable	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 lle sales Sale es selected were	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance	8/2004 1000 ty Auditor Records 8/2018 within 1 mile in dist e and sales dates	05/09/1997 \$40,000 S County Aud 02/13/2018 ance and sales were older than the	ditor Records dithin 6 months of the appraiser							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 nistory of the subject pad. Three were for	tor Records roperty and comparatound. Comparable	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 lle sales Sale es selected were	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance	8/2004 1000 ty Auditor Records 8/2018 within 1 mile in dist e and sales dates	05/09/1997 \$40,000 S County Aud 02/13/2018 ance and sales were older than the	ditor Records dithin 6 months of the appraiser							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research would like. But all, were a	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 nistory of the subject pad. Three were for	tor Records roperty and comparatound. Comparable	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 lle sales Sale es selected were	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance	8/2004 1000 ty Auditor Records 8/2018 within 1 mile in dist e and sales dates	05/09/1997 \$40,000 S County Aud 02/13/2018 ance and sales were older than the	ditor Records dithin 6 months of the appraiser							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research would like. But all, were a market influences.	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 nistory of the subject p ned. Three were fo s similar and as c	tor Records roperty and comparable ound. Comparable lose as possible f	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 lle sales Sal es selected were or the purpose of	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance this report. All cou	8/2004 000 ty Auditor Records 8/2018 within 1 mile in dist e and sales dates mparables are wit	05/09/1997 \$40,000 s County Aud 02/13/2018 ance and sales w were older than thin similar marke	ditor Records dithin 6 months of ne appraiser is with similar							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research would like. But all, were a	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 nistory of the subject p ned. Three were for s similar and as c	tor Records roperty and comparate bund. Comparable lose as possible for the comparable statement of the comparabl	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 le sales Sal es selected were or the purpose of	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance this report. All coun	8/2004 000 ty Auditor Records 8/2018 within 1 mile in dist e and sales dates mparables are wit	05/09/1997 \$40,000 s County Auc 02/13/2018 ance and sales w were older than th hin similar marke	ABLE SALE #3 ditor Records dithin 6 months of the appraiser ts with similar							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research would like. But all, were a market influences. Summary of Sales Comparison A	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 nistory of the subject p ned. Three were for similar and as c	tor Records roperty and comparate bund. Comparable lose as possible for the comparable statement of the comparabl	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 le sales Sal es selected were or the purpose of	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance this report. All coun	8/2004 000 ty Auditor Records 8/2018 within 1 mile in dist e and sales dates mparables are wit	05/09/1997 \$40,000 s County Auc 02/13/2018 ance and sales w were older than th hin similar marke	ABLE SALE #3 ditor Records dithin 6 months of the appraiser ts with similar							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research would like. But all, were a market influences. Summary of Sales Comparison of found to have average co	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 nistory of the subject p ned. Three were for similar and as c	tor Records roperty and comparate bund. Comparable lose as possible for the comparable statement of the comparabl	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 le sales Sal es selected were or the purpose of	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance this report. All coun	8/2004 000 ty Auditor Records 8/2018 within 1 mile in dist e and sales dates mparables are wit	05/09/1997 \$40,000 s County Auc 02/13/2018 ance and sales w were older than th hin similar marke	ABLE SALE #3 ditor Records dithin 6 months of the appraiser ts with similar							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research would like. But all, were a market influences. Summary of Sales Comparison of found to have average co	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 nistory of the subject p ned. Three were for similar and as c	tor Records roperty and comparate bund. Comparable lose as possible for the comparable statement of the comparabl	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 le sales Sal es selected were or the purpose of	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance this report. All coun	8/2004 000 ty Auditor Records 8/2018 within 1 mile in dist e and sales dates mparables are wit	05/09/1997 \$40,000 s County Auc 02/13/2018 ance and sales w were older than th hin similar marke	ABLE SALE #3 ditor Records dithin 6 months of the appraiser ts with similar							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research would like. But all, were a market influences. Summary of Sales Comparison of found to have average co	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 nistory of the subject p ned. Three were for similar and as c	tor Records roperty and comparate bund. Comparable lose as possible for the comparable statement of the comparabl	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 le sales Sal es selected were or the purpose of	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance this report. All coun	8/2004 000 ty Auditor Records 8/2018 within 1 mile in dist e and sales dates mparables are wit	05/09/1997 \$40,000 s County Auc 02/13/2018 ance and sales w were older than th hin similar marke	ABLE SALE #3 ditor Records dithin 6 months of the appraiser ts with similar							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research would like. But all, were a market influences. Summary of Sales Comparison of found to have average co	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 nistory of the subject p ned. Three were for similar and as c	tor Records roperty and comparate bund. Comparable lose as possible for the comparable statement of the comparabl	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 le sales Sal es selected were or the purpose of	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance this report. All coun	8/2004 000 ty Auditor Records 8/2018 within 1 mile in dist e and sales dates mparables are wit	05/09/1997 \$40,000 s County Auc 02/13/2018 ance and sales w were older than th hin similar marke	ABLE SALE #3 ditor Records dithin 6 months of the appraiser ts with similar							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research would like. But all, were a market influences. Summary of Sales Comparison / found to have average co acceptable for this purpos	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 nistory of the subject p ned. Three were for similar and as c Approach The su mpatibility within the.	tor Records roperty and comparate bund. Comparable lose as possible f	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 le sales Sal es selected were or the purpose of	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance this report. All coun	8/2004 000 ty Auditor Records 8/2018 within 1 mile in dist e and sales dates mparables are wit	05/09/1997 \$40,000 s County Auc 02/13/2018 ance and sales w were older than th hin similar marke	ABLE SALE #3 ditor Records dithin 6 months of the appraiser ts with similar							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research would like. But all, were a market influences. Summary of Sales Comparison / found to have average co acceptable for this purpos	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 inistory of the subject p ided. Three were for similar and as c Approach The su mpatibility within the.	tor Records roperty and comparate bund. Comparable lose as possible f abjects market was the neighborhood.	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 le sales Sale es selected were or the purpose of	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance this report. All con	8/2004 000 ty Auditor Records 8/2018 within 1 mile in dist e and sales dates mparables are wit milar in size, locat inced. Digital signa	05/09/1997 \$40,000 s County Auc 02/13/2018 ance and sales w were older than th hin similar marke	ABLE SALE #3 ditor Records dithin 6 months of ne appraiser ts with similar e subject was aiser is							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research would like. But all, were a market influences. Summary of Sales Comparison / found to have average co acceptable for this purpos	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 nistory of the subject p ned. Three were for similar and as c hyproach The su mpatibility within to be.	tor Records roperty and comparate bund. Comparable lose as possible full blects market was the neighborhood.	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 lle sales Sale es selected were or the purpose of us searched for se Digital photos ha	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance this report. All coun eles considered si ve not been enha	8/2004 000 ty Auditor Records 8/2018 within 1 mile in dist e and sales dates mparables are wit milar in size, locat inced. Digital signs	05/09/1997 \$40,000 S County Auc 02/13/2018 ance and sales w were older than th hin similar marke ion and value. Th ature for the appra	ABLE SALE #3 ditor Records within 6 months of the appraiser the appraiser the appraiser the appraiser the swith similar the appraiser is with similar the appraiser is the appraiser the appraise the appr							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research would like. But all, were a market influences. Summary of Sales Comparison / found to have average co acceptable for this purpos Indicated Value by Sales Compa Indicated Value by: Sales Compa Indicated Value by	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 nistory of the subject padd. Three were five similar and as comparished. The sumpatibility within the second approach \$ 8 parison Approach \$ 9 parison Appro	tor Records Imperty and comparate to the comparate to the comparable to the comparable to the comparate to	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 le sales Sal es selected were or the purpose of us searched for se Digital photos ha	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance this report. All coun eles considered si ve not been enha	6/2004 6/2004 6/2018 6/	05/09/1997 \$40,000 S County Auc 02/13/2018 ance and sales w were older than th hin similar marke ion and value. Th ature for the appra	ditor Records ditor Records dithin 6 months of the appraiser ts with similar e subject was aliser is							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research would like. But all, were a market influences. Summary of Sales Comparison / found to have average co acceptable for this purpos Indicated Value by Sales Comparindicated Value by: Sales Comparindicated Value by	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 nistory of the subject p ned. Three were for similar and as c Approach The su mpatibility within to be. irison Approach \$ 8 inparison Approach \$ 8	tor Records property and comparate to the comparate to the comparable to the compar	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 le sales Sal es selected were or the purpose of us searched for se Digital photos ha	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance this report. All coun eles considered si ve not been enha	6/2004 6/2004 6/2018 6/	05/09/1997 \$40,000 S County Auc 02/13/2018 ance and sales w were older than th hin similar marke ion and value. Th ature for the appra	ditor Records ditor Records dithin 6 months of the appraiser ts with similar e subject was aliser is							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research would like. But all, were a market influences. Summary of Sales Comparison / found to have average co acceptable for this purpos Indicated Value by Sales Comparindicated Value by: Sales Comparindicated Value by	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 sistory of the subject part of the subject p	tor Records properly and comparable to the comp	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 lle sales Sale es selected were or the purpose of us searched for se Digital photos ha	ecords Coun 02/13 s45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance this report. All coun ales considered si ve not been enha veloped) \$ 17,000 t value for the typi was considered b	b/2004 b/2004 b/2000 ty Auditor Records b/2018 b/20	05/09/1997 \$40,000 s County Aud 02/13/2016 ance and sales w were older than the hin similar marke tion and value. The ature for the appro-	PABLE SALE #3 dittor Records ithin 6 months of the appraiser ts with similar e subject was aiser is state of the subject was aiser is was considered the subject was considered							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research would like. But all, were a market influences. Summary of Sales Comparison / found to have average co acceptable for this purpos Indicated Value by Sales Comparindicated Value by: Sales Comparindicated Value by	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 sistory of the subject part of the subject p	tor Records properly and comparate bound. Comparable lose as possible f abjects market was the neighborhood. 5,000 6,000 6,000 7,000 8 a drive by. The i ed. completion per plans	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 lle sales Sale es selected were or the purpose of us searched for se Digital photos ha Cost Approach (if de eflects the market ncome approach	ecords Coun 02/13 s45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance this report. All coun ales considered si ve not been enha veloped) \$ 17,000 t value for the typi was considered be in the basis of a hyp	b/2004 b/2004 b/2006 by Auditor Records b/2018 by Auditor Records b/2018 by Auditor Records b/2018 by Auditor Records by Audito	05/09/1997 \$40,000 S County Aud 02/13/2018 ance and sales were older than thin similar marke sion and value. The ature for the appropriate for the appropriate or the cost approach to a lack of rental in the improvements.	PABLE SALE #3 ditor Records ithin 6 months of the appraiser is with similar e subject was aliser is was considered income data, have been							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research would like. But all, were a market influences. Summary of Sales Comparison / found to have average co acceptable for this purpos Indicated Value by Sales Compa Indicated Value by: Sales Compa Indicated Value By	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 nistory of the subject product of the subject of the	tor Records roperty and comparate bund. Comparable lose as possible f abjects market was the neighborhood. 5,000 6 85,000 7,000 8 a drive by. The i ed. completion per plans ilterations on the bas	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 lle sales Sale es selected were or the purpose of us searched for se Digital photos ha Cost Approach (if de effects the market income approach is and specifications of is of a hypothetical c	ecords Coun 02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance this report. All coun ales considered si ve not been enha veloped) \$ 17,000 t value for the typi was considered b on the basis of a hyp ondition that the repa	b/2004 b/2004 b/2006 b/2018 b/	05/09/1997 \$40,000 S County Aud 02/13/2018 ance and sales were older than thin similar marke sion and value. The ature for the appropriate for the appropriate or the cost approach to a lack of rental in the improvements.	ABLE SALE #3 ditor Records ithin 6 months of the appraiser is with similar e subject was aliser is was considered income data, have been							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research would like. But all, were a market influences. Summary of Sales Comparison / found to have average co acceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indi	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 nistory of the subject p ned. Three were for similar and as c hyproach The su mpatibility within the se. In the subject p mpatibility within the se.	tor Records properly and comparate to the comparate to t	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 le sales Sale es selected were or the purpose of les searched for se Digital photos ha Cost Approach (if de effects the market income approach es and specifications of is of a hypothetical che condition or deficie	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance this report. All coun eles considered si ve not been enha veloped) \$ 17,000 t value for the typi was considered to in the basis of a hyp ondition that the repare	b/2004 b/2004 b/2006 by Auditor Records b/2018 within 1 mile in dist by and sales dates mparables are wit milar in size, locat inced. Digital signs b/2018 Income App b/2016 but not used due to othetical condition that its or alterations have alteration or repair.	05/09/1997 \$40,000 S County Auc 02/13/2018 ance and sales w were older than th hin similar marke ion and value. Th ature for the appra oroach (if developed he cost approach o a lack of rental if the improvements if	ABLE SALE #3 ditor Records ditor Records dittor Records di							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research would like. But all, were a market influences. Summary of Sales Comparison / found to have average co acceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indicated Value by Sales Comparison / found to have average coacceptable for this purpose indi	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 nistory of the subject p ned. Three were for similar and as c hyproach The su mpatibility within the se. In the subject p mpatibility within the se.	tor Records properly and comparate to the comparate to t	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 le sales Sale es selected were or the purpose of les searched for se Digital photos ha Cost Approach (if de effects the market income approach es and specifications of is of a hypothetical che condition or deficie	02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance this report. All coun eles considered si ve not been enha veloped) \$ 17,000 t value for the typi was considered to in the basis of a hyp ondition that the repare	b/2004 b/2004 b/2006 by Auditor Records b/2018 within 1 mile in dist by and sales dates mparables are wit milar in size, locat inced. Digital signs b/2018 Income App b/2018 Income Ap	05/09/1997 \$40,000 S County Auc 02/13/2018 ance and sales w were older than th hin similar marke ion and value. Th ature for the appra oroach (if developed he cost approach o a lack of rental if the improvements if	ABLE SALE #3 ditor Records ditor Records dittor Records di							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer the subject were research would like. But all, were a market influences. Summary of Sales Comparison / found to have average co acceptable for this purpos Indicated Value by Sales Comparindicated Value by: Sales Comparindicated Value by	11/01/2010 \$50,000 County Audi 02/13/2018 nistory of the subject p ned. Three were for similar and as c hyproach The su mpatibility within the se. In the subject p mpatibility within the se.	tor Records property and comparate bound. Comparable lose as possible f abjects market was the neighborhood. 5,000 6,000 7,000 8 a drive by. The i ed. completion per plans alterations on the bas ary assumption that the as of the subject purif opinion of the in	06/06/2016 \$15,000 County Auditor Re 02/13/2018 lle sales Sales Sales selected were or the purpose of is searched for se Digital photos ha Cost Approach (if de effects the market ncome approach is of a hypothetical che condition or deficie roperty from at leas narket value, as det	ecords Coun 02/13 \$45,0 ecords Coun 02/13 es comparables v further in distance this report. All coun ales considered si ve not been enha veloped) \$ 17,000 t value for the typi was considered be on the basis of a hyp ondition that the repa ency does not require t the street, defined, of the real pi	b/2004 b/2004 b/2006 by Auditor Records b/2018 within 1 mile in dist by and sales dates mparables are wit milar in size, locat inced. Digital signs b/2018 Income App b/2018 Income Ap	05/09/1997 \$40,000 S County Auc 02/13/2018 ance and sales w were older than the similar marketh of the approach of the cost approach of a lack of rental is the improvements been completed, or tement of assumptivubject of this reports.	ABLE SALE #3 ditor Records ditor Records dittor Records di							

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Cost analysis was considered but not completed.					
	(not required by Fannie Mae)				
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculated and calculate and calculated and calcul	ulations.				
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calci Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for	ulations. estimating site value) Sit		determined by o		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calci Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within	ulations. estimating site value) Sit				
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calci Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for	ulations. estimating site value) Sit				
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calci Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within	ulations. estimating site value) Sit				eted due
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calci Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by.	lations. estimating site value) Site this market area. Cost ap			not compl	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calci Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current	ulations. estimating site value) Site this market area. Cost ap	pproach was		not compl	eted due
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calci Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	ulations. estimating site value) Site this market area. Cost ap OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING	Sq.Ft. @ \$		=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	eted due
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calci Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall &	lations. estimating site value) Site this market area. Cost ap OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport	Sq.Ft. @ \$		=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	eted due
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calci Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall & Swift, Residential Cost Handbook. Estimated effective age determined by	Jations. estimating site value) Site value) Site value) Site value) Site value Site valu	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	considered but r	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	eted due
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calci Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall & Swift, Residential Cost Handbook. Estimated effective age determined by age life method. Site valuation was determined by recent sales and	lations. estimating site value) Sit this market area. Cost ap OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical	Sq.Ft. @ \$			eted due
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calci Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall & Swift, Residential Cost Handbook. Estimated effective age determined by	estimating site value) Site value Sit	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	considered but r		eted due
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calci Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall & Swift, Residential Cost Handbook. Estimated effective age determined by age life method. Site valuation was determined by recent sales and	lations. estimating site value) Site value Site	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	considered but r		11,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calci Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall & Swift, Residential Cost Handbook. Estimated effective age determined by age life method. Site valuation was determined by recent sales and	estimating site value) Site value Sit	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	considered but r		eted due
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calci Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall & Swift, Residential Cost Handbook. Estimated effective age determined by age life method. Site valuation was determined by recent sales and county records.	lations. estimating site value) Site value Site	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	considered but r		11,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calci Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall & Swift, Residential Cost Handbook. Estimated effective age determined by age life method. Site valuation was determined by recent sales and county records. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)	Jations. estimating site value) Site of this market area. Cost ap OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvement "As-is" Value of Site Improvement	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	considered but r	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	11,000)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcis Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales withing to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall & Swift, Residential Cost Handbook. Estimated effective age determined by age life method. Site valuation was determined by recent sales and county records. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier	Jations. estimating site value) Site value) Site value) Site value) Site value) Site value Site val	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	External Indicated Value	= \$ = \$	11,000 11,000) 3,000 17,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcis Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales withing to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall & Swift, Residential Cost Handbook. Estimated effective age determined by age life method. Site valuation was determined by recent sales and county records. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier	Jations. estimating site value) Site of this market area. Cost approximation OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvemer "As-is" Value of Site Improvement INDICATED VALUE BY COST AP E (not required by Fannie Mae)	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	External Indicated Value	= \$ = \$	11,000 11,000) 3,000 17,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calci Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall & Swift, Residential Cost Handbook. Estimated effective age determined by age life method. Site valuation was determined by recent sales and county records. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The income Approach including support for market rent and GRM) The income Approach including support for market rent and GRM) The income Approach including support for market rent and GRM) The income Approach including support for market rent and GRM) The income Approach including support for market rent and GRM	lations. estimating site value) Site of this market area. Cost appropriate the site value of the site	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	External Indicated Value	= \$ = \$	11,000 11,000) 3,000 17,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calci Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall & Swift, Residential Cost Handbook. Estimated effective age determined by age life method. Site valuation was determined by recent sales and county records. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The income Approach including support for market rent and GRM) The income Approach including support for market rent and GRM) The income Approach including support for market rent and GRM	lations. estimating site value) Site of this market area. Cost applications. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Ints Ints Ints Index Ints Ints Ints Ints Ints Ints Ints Ints	External Indicated Valit t developed for ti	= \$ = \$	11,000 11,000) 3,000 17,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales withing to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall & Swift, Residential Cost Handbook. Estimated effective age determined by age life method. Site valuation was determined by recent sales and county records. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The income approach in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes	lations. estimating site value) Site of this market area. Cost applications of this market area. Cost applications of this market area. Cost application of the site of the si	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional tts tts proach	External Indicated Validated Validat	= \$ = \$	11,000 11,000) 3,000 17,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcis Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall & Swift, Residential Cost Handbook. Estimated effective age determined by age life method. Site valuation was determined by recent sales and county records. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incomparation of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the H	lations. estimating site value) Site of this market area. Cost applications of this market area. Cost applications of this market area. Cost application of the site of the si	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional tts tts proach	External Indicated Validated Validat	= \$ = \$	11,000 11,000) 3,000 17,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcis Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall & Swift, Residential Cost Handbook. Estimated effective age determined by age life method. Site valuation was determined by recent sales and county records. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incompart of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Hugal Name of Project	alations. estimating site value) Site this market area. Cost applications of this market area. Cost application of this market area. Cost application of the site	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional tts tts proach	External Indicated Validated Validat	= \$ = \$	11,000 11,000) 3,000 17,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcis Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall & Swift, Residential Cost Handbook. Estimated effective age determined by age life method. Site valuation was determined by recent sales and county records. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incomparation of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the H	lations. estimating site value) Site of this market area. Cost applications of this market area. Cost applications of this market area. Cost application of the site of the si	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional tts tts proach	External Indicated Validated Validat	= \$ = \$	11,000 11,000) 3,000 17,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calci Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall & Swift, Residential Cost Handbook. Estimated effective age determined by age life method. Site valuation was determined by recent sales and county records. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incomposition of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the H Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units	alations. estimating site value) Site value) Site value) Site value) Site value) Site value Site va	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional this	External Indicated Validated Validat	= \$ = \$	11,000 11,000) 3,000 17,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcis Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales withing to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED	lations. estimating site value) Site value) Site value) Site value) Site value) Site value) Site value Site value Site value OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Depreciated Depreciated Site Improvement "As-is" Value of Site Improvement "As-is" Va	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional this	External Indicated Validated Validat	= \$ = \$	11,000 11,000) 3,000 17,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calci Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall & Swift, Residential Cost Handbook. Estimated effective age determined by age life method. Site valuation was determined by recent sales and county records. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incomparation of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowners' Total number of units Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	lations. estimating site value) Site value) Site value) Site value) Site value) Site value) Site value Site value Site value OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Depreciated Depreciated Site Improvement "As-is" Value of Site Improvement "As-is" Va	Sq.Ft. @\$ Sq.Ft. @\$ Sq.Ft. @\$ Functional Ints Ints Ints Intered but no	External Indicated Validated Validat	= \$ = \$	11,000 11,000) 3,000 17,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcis Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales withing to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED	Site value Sit	Sq.Ft. @\$ Sq.Ft. @\$ Sq.Ft. @\$ Functional Ints Ints Ints Intered but no	External Indicated Validated Validat	= \$ = \$	11,000 11,000) 3,000 17,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcis Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales withing to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall & Swift, Residential Cost Handbook. Estimated effective age determined by age life method. Site valuation was determined by recent sales and county records. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incomparation of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Hugal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Data Source(s)	Assistantian State (Section 2014) District (Section 2014) District (Section 2014) District (Section 2014) District (Section 2014) Depreciation Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvemer (Section 2014) Dep	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Ints Ints Ints Interest but no Indeed Interest but no Interest but	External Indicated Validated Validat	= \$ = \$	11,000 11,000) 3,000 17,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcis Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales within to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall & Swift, Residential Cost Handbook. Estimated effective age determined by age life method. Site valuation was determined by recent sales and county records. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incomparation of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the H Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Data Source(s)	Site value Sit	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Ints Ints Ints Interest but no Indeed Interest but no Interest but	External Indicated Validated Validat	= \$ = \$	11,000 11,000) 3,000 17,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcis Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for sales in the market area. There was minimal valid vacant land sales withing to subject features and undeterminable effective age on drive by. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Averag Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost approach square foot calculations based on data from Marshall & Swift, Residential Cost Handbook. Estimated effective age determined by age life method. Site valuation was determined by recent sales and county records. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incomparation of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Hugal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Data Source(s)	Assistantian State (Section 2014) District (Section 2014) District (Section 2014) District (Section 2014) District (Section 2014) Depreciation Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvemer (Section 2014) Dep	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Ints Ints Ints Interest but no Indeed Interest but no Interest but	External Indicated Validated Validat	= \$ = \$	11,000 11,000) 3,000 17,000

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 4 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER Robert L. Dodrill, Jr.	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)						
Signature for of Depull for	Signature						
Signature for the posterior from Robert L. Dodrill, Jr.	Name						
Company Name SEOREA LLC	Company Name						
Company Address 202 S Locust St	Company Address						
McArthur, OH 45651							
Telephone Number (740) 596-4037	Telephone Number						
Email Address seorealic@gmail.com	Email Address						
Date of Signature and Report 02/14/2018	Date of Signature						
Effective Date of Appraisal 02/13/2018	State Certification #						
State Certification # 2004018582	or State License #						
or State License #	State						
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License						
State OH							
Expiration Date of Certification or License 06/16/2018	SUBJECT PROPERTY						
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	☐ Did not inspect exterior of subject property						
415 N Pennsylvania Ave	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street						
Wellston, OH 45692	Date of Inspection						
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 85,000							
LENDER/CLIENT	COMPARABLE SALES						
Name Corporate Settlement Solutions	☐ Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street						
Company Name Peoples Bank National Association	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street						
Company Address 138 Putnam St, Marietta, OH 45750	Date of Inspection						
Email Address appraisals@pebo.com							

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 6 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005